

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST
PROPOSITION 63

As law enforcement and public safety officials, we're not surprised that groups such as the NRA and its affiliates oppose Proposition 63. Make no mistake, the so-called "Coalition for Civil Liberties" is actually an NRA front group.

The gun lobby often claims we should focus on enforcing existing gun laws, and that's exactly what this initiative does – *Prop 63 closes loopholes and helps enforce existing laws to keep guns and ammo out of the wrong hands.*

For example, Prop 63 *ensures dangerous convicts prohibited from owning weapons follow the law and get rid of their firearms.* Law enforcement professionals have found that felons and dangerous people currently possess thousands of guns illegally - so closing this loophole will save lives.

Prop 63 also *requires reporting lost and stolen firearms,* to help police shut down gun trafficking rings and locate caches of illegal weapons. Prop 63 will help police recover stolen guns before they're used in crimes and return them to their lawful owners.

Prop 63 also *improves background check systems* so that law enforcement can prevent people banned from owning weapons – such as violent felons – from buying guns and ammo.

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

~~RE~~BUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST

PROPOSITION 63

And Prop 63 clarifies existing law so that any gun theft is a felony, *ensuring that people who steal guns can't own guns*. That's another common-sense reform to save lives overwhelmingly supported by law enforcement professionals.

Prop 63 will close loopholes in our existing laws and prevent dangerous criminals, domestic abusers, and the dangerously mentally ill from obtaining and using deadly weapons.

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney, Alameda County

Jeff Rosen, District Attorney, Santa Clara County

Vicki Hennessy, Sheriff, San Francisco

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES